

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/783,347	YOSHIMURA, HIDEYOSHI
	Examiner KENNETH TANG	Art Unit 2195

All Participants:

Status of Application: Now allowed

(1) KENNETH TANG. (3) _____.

(2) Steven M. Jensen (Reg. No. 42,693). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 May 2009

Time: 2:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 12-15

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kenneth Tang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to for independent claims 1 and 13-15 to be amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 12. Furthermore, it was agreed to amend claims 1 and 13-15 to positively recite that the first processor "executes" an instruction set, and the second processor "executes" at least an instruction subset. This agreement has placed the application in condition for allowance.